



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*du*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,503	01/28/2004	Dan E. Fischer	7678.811	3475
22913	7590	06/08/2007		
WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			EXAMINER SINGH, SATYENDRA K	
			ART UNIT 1657	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/08/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/766,503	FISCHER, DAN E.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Satyendra K. Singh	1657	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Satyendra K. Singh.

 (3) Irene Marx (Primary Examiner).

 (2) Andrew S. Hansen (attorney's Assoc.).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 June 2007
**Time:** 10:30 AM
**Type of Interview:**
☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**


 Rejection(s) discussed:  
*of the record*

 Claims discussed:  
*proposed claim amendments*

 Prior art documents discussed:  
*of the record*
**Part II.**

 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative (Mr. Andrew S. Hansen) presented and discussed the claim amendments after first action on merits (proposed amendments to claim 1, and a new claim 28 to be added) of the pending claims. Further amendments to the claims were discussed and suggested in order to clarify issues with claims 1 and 28, as proposed by applicant's attorney of record.